## **EXHIBIT 9**

# REDACTED PUBLIC VERSION

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
 3
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
 4
 5
     CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
                   Plaintiff,
 6
                                ) Case No.
 7
             vs.
                                ) 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)
 8
      ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,
 9
                   Defendant. )
10
11
12
           HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
13
14
             VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUNG TJONG
15
                    San Francisco, California
16
17
                  Wednesday, November 11, 2015
                           Volume I
18
19
20
21
22
     Reported by:
     CARLA SOARES
23
     CSR No. 5908
24
     Job No. 2182970
25
     Pages 1 - 120
                                                    Page 1
```

```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
 3
 4
 5
     CISCO SYSTEMS, INC.,
                   Plaintiff,
 6
                                 ) Case No.
 7
                                 ) 5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)
             VS.
      ARISTA NETWORKS, INC.,
 8
                   Defendant.
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
                 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JUNG TJONG,
16
17
     Volume I, taken on behalf of Defendant, at
     633 Battery Street, San Francisco, California,
18
19
     beginning at 10:01 a.m., and ending at 3:56 p.m., on
     Wednesday, November 11, 2015, before CARLA SOARES,
20
     Certified Shorthand Reporter No. 5908.
21
22
23
24
25
                                                    Page 2
```

1	APPEARANCES:		
2			
3	For the Plaintiff and the Witness:		
4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP		
5	BY: JOHN (JAY) NEUKOM, Attorney at Law		
6	BY: JASON L. LIU, Attorney at Law		
7	50 California Street, 22nd Floor		
8	San Francisco, California 94111		
9	415.875.6341		
10	johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com		
11	jasonliu@quinnemanuel.com		
12			
13			
14	For the Defendant:		
15	KEKER & VAN NEST LLP		
16	BY: BRIAN L. FERRALL, Attorney at Law		
17	BY: DAVID J. ROSEN, Attorney at Law		
18	633 Battery Street		
19	San Francisco, California 94111		
20	415.391.5400		
21	bferrall@kvn.com		
22	drosen@kvn.com		
23			
24	ALSO PRESENT: Sean Grant, Video Operator		
25	000		
	Page 3		

### 

1		INDEX	
2	WITNESS		
3	JUNG TJONG		EXAMINATION
	Volume I		
4			
5		BY MR. FERRALL	8
6			
7		EXHIBITS	
8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
9	Exhibit 1	U.S. Patent No. 7,953,886,	10
10		Bates CSI-CLI-00355030 - 5041	
11			
12	Exhibit 2	Document headed "Patent	23
13		Application,"	
14		Bates CSI-CLI-00024471 - 4486	
15			
16	Exhibit 3	Document entitled "SAN OS	44
17		Management APIs,"	
18		Bates CSI-CLI-00660992 - 1001	
19			
20	Exhibit 4	Document headed "Introduction	to 49
21		XML," Bates CSI-CLI-00661298	-
22		1303	
23			
24	Exhibit 5	Document containing metadata	49
25			
			Page 4
l			

### 

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 6	Document entitled "Provisioning	56
4		Voice Over Packet Networks:	
5		A Metadata Driven, Service Object	-
6		Based Approach"	
7			
8	Exhibit 7	U.S. Patent No. 7,698,694	58
9			
10	Exhibit 8	Document entitled "e-Government	61
11		Schema Guidelines for XML,"	
12		Bates CSI-CLI-00671458 - 1496	
13			
14	Exhibit 9	Email string, top email to	63
15		Pradeep Kathail from John Ellis,	
16		dated 11-25-03,	
17		Bates CSI-CLI-01114666 - 4667	
18			
19	Exhibit 10	Document entitled "IOS	71
20		Programmatic Interface	
21		Architecture, An alternative -	
22		PI-lite approach,"	
23		Bates CSI-CLI-00023800 - 3834	
24			
25			
		]	Page 5

### 

1		EXHIBITS	
2	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	Exhibit 11	Typewritten document,	78
4		Bates CSI-CLI-00728047 - 8049	
5			
6	Exhibit 12	Document headed "Document	83
7		Number EDCS-XXXXXX"	
8			
9	Exhibit 13	Document entitled "IOS-XS XML API	84
10		and IOS-PI Data Model Comparison,"	
11		Bates CSI-CLI-00661597 - 1609	
12			
13	Exhibit 14	Document entitled "NMTG Concept	87
14		Commit for IOS Native Programmatic	
15		Interface, " Bates CSI-CLI-00023909	-
16		3963	
17			
18	Exhibit 15	Document entitled "Project Name:	113
19		'Sharknose,'"	
20		Bates CSI-CLI-00678679 - 8718	
21			
22	Exhibit 16	Document entitled "Innovate	116
23		Design Deliver NSSTG,"	
24		Bates CSI-CLI-00871574 - 1644	
25		000	
		Page	e 6

1	San Francisco, California	09:28:55
2	Wednesday, November 11, 2015	
3	10:01 a.m.	
4		
5	PROCEEDINGS	09:33:54
6	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Good morning. We're	
7	on the record. The time is 10:01 a.m., and the date	
8	is November 11th, 2015.	
9	This begins the videotaped deposition of	
10	Jung Tjong. My name is Sean Grant here with our	10:02:04
11	court reporter, Carla Soares. We're here from	
12	Veritext Legal Solutions at the request of counsel	
13	for defendant.	
14	This deposition is being held at	
15	Keker & Van Nest LLP in San Francisco, California.	10:02:16
16	The caption of this case is Cisco Systems, Inc.,	
17	versus Arista Networks, Inc., Case No.	
18	5:14-cv-05344-BLF (PSG)	
19	Please note that audio- and	
20	video-recording will take place until all parties	10:02:34
21	have agreed to go off the record. Microphones are	
22	sensitive and may pick up whispers, private	
23	conversations, or cellular interference.	
24	At this time, will counsel please identify	
25	themselves and state whom they represent.	10:02:46
		Page 7

1	MR. FERRALL: Brian Ferrall of Keker &	10:02:50
2	Van Nest on behalf of the defendant Arista	
3	Networks.	
4	MR. ROSEN: David Rosen of Keker &	
5	Van Nest on behalf of defendant Arista Networks.	10:02:57
6	MR. NEUKOM: John Neukom and Jason Liu on	
7	behalf of Cisco, and here today for the witness.	
8	THE VIDEO OPERATOR: Thank you.	
9	Will the certified court reporter please	
10	swear in the witness.	10:03:08
11	JUNG TJONG,	
12	having been administered an oath, was examined and	
13	testified as follows:	
14	EXAMINATION	
15	BY MR. FERRALL:	10:03:19
15 16	BY MR. FERRALL:  Q Good morning. Please state your full	10:03:19
		10:03:19
16	Q Good morning. Please state your full	10:03:19
16 17	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.	10:03:19
16 17	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.	10:03:19
16 17	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.	10:03:19
16 17	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.	10:03:19
16 17 18	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.  A Jung Tjong.	10:03:19
16 17 18	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.  A Jung Tjong.  Q Have you ever sat for a deposition before?	10:03:19
16 17 18  22 23	Q Good morning. Please state your full name.  A Jung Tjong.  Q Have you ever sat for a deposition before?  A No.	10:03:19

### Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 150-4 Filed 12/17/15 Page 10 of 12

Q So let's go back to the first half of the	10:32:29
2000s. Can you do you recall what project or	
projects you were working on in that period that	
ultimately led to the work that's described in the	
'886 patent?	10:32:52
A I was part of a team I was part of a	
project to implement a programmatic interface into	
IOS.	
Q And to clarify, what's IOS?	
A IOS, this is Cisco IOS, Internet operating	10:33:32
system, I believe.	
Q What's a programmatic interface?	
A Programmatic interface in our term means	
machine interface to manage IOS.	
Q Can you explain, just in general terms,	10:34:04
what would be different about a programmatic	
interface as opposed to whatever was used to manage	
IOS absent a programmatic interface?	
A IOS is built with the command line	
interface intended for human user from day one or	10:34:35
primarily. So programmatic interface is intended	
for machine as opposed to human.	
Q How would the programmatic interface	
strike that.	
What do you mean by "intended for machine	10:35:14
	Page 21
	2000s. Can you do you recall what project or projects you were working on in that period that ultimately led to the work that's described in the '886 patent?  A I was part of a team I was part of a project to implement a programmatic interface into IOS.  Q And to clarify, what's IOS? A IOS, this is Cisco IOS, Internet operating system, I believe.  Q What's a programmatic interface? A Programmatic interface in our term means machine interface to manage IOS.  Q Can you explain, just in general terms, what would be different about a programmatic interface as opposed to whatever was used to manage IOS absent a programmatic interface?  A IOS is built with the command line interface intended for human user from day one or primarily. So programmatic interface is intended for machine as opposed to human.  Q How would the programmatic interface strike that.

### Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 150-4 Filed 12/17/15 Page 11 of 12

1	technical materials all the time. That's how we	11:42:13
2	learn.	
3	Q Has XML been standardized by any group?	
4	MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague, calls for	
5	opinion testimony and legal conclusion.	11:42:39
6	THE WITNESS: It's a W3C. W it's a	
7	standard.	
8	BY MR. FERRALL:	
9	Q By W3C?	
10	A Yes.	11:43:02
11	Q And tell me, what's W3C, do you know?	
12	A It's a standard body. World Wide Web	
13	Consortium.	
14	Q Consortium? So W3 stands for World Wide	
15	Web?	11:43:34
16	A I think so.	
17	Q Do you know if there are different	
18	versions of XML?	
19	MR. NEUKOM: Objection. Vague.	
20	THE WITNESS: I think there are various	11:43:58
21	variations of those. I don't recall the XML	
22	itself I think was derived from something called	
23	SGML, if I'm not mistaken. And then there are other	
24	variations of markup language.	
25	///	11:44:22
		Page 46

### Case 5:14-cv-05344-BLF Document 150-4 Filed 12/17/15 Page 12 of 12

1	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand	15:56:48
2	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby	
3	certify:	
4	That the foregoing proceedings were taken	
5	before me at the time and place herein set forth;	15:56:48
6	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,	
7	prior to testifying, were administered an oath; that	
8	a record of the proceedings was made by me using	
9	machine shorthand which was thereafter transcribed	
10	under my direction; that the foregoing transcript is	15:56:48
11	a true record of the testimony given.	
12	Further, that if the foregoing pertains to	
13	the original transcript of a deposition in a Federal	
14	Case, before completion of the proceedings, review	
15	of the transcript [ ] was [x] was not requested.	15:56:48
16	I further certify I am neither financially	
17	interested in the action nor a relative or employee	
18	of any attorney or any party to this action.	
19	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date	
20	subscribed my name.	15:56:48
21	Dated: 11/17/2015	
22	a . / /	
23	Carla Soar	es)
24	CARLA SOARES	
25	CSR No. 5908	15:56:48
		Page 120